

Effect of processing of whole crop wheat silage on digestibility by cows

International Silage Conference Bonn, Germany 26 July 2018



Åshild T. Randby, Elisabet Nadeau, Linda Karlsson, Erik Brodshaug and Astrid Johansen

Norwegian University of Life Sciences



Background

- Whole crop wheat silage (WCWS) gives a high DM yield in one single cut
- Diets including WCWS frequently increases DM intake, but increases in production are often small or absent (Phipps et al. 1995, Sutton et al. 1998, Sinclair et al. 2003, Beck et al. 2009)
- Mature whole cereal grains are known to be poorly digested by cattle (Sutton et al. 1997)
- Whole grains at duodenum have been considered to explain low rumen digestibility (Abdallah et al. 1999)



Aim

 Determine how processing (crushing, rolling) of wheat grains in whole crop wheat silage harvested at early and hard dough stages influences digestibility by cows



Hypotheses

- 1. Total tract OM and starch digestibility increases by grain processing
- This increase is greater when harvesting at the hard dough stage (Zadoks 87) than at the early dough (Zadoks 83)



Methods – wheat growing

Spring wheat, var. Krabat, was sown in Ås, Norway, 20. april 2015.

Photo 16. June



Methods – harvesting



A half of the field was harvested at early dough, Zadoks 83, 27. July



Methods - harvesting



The second half was harvested at the soft-to-hard dough, at Zadoks 86, 14. August



Zadoks et al. 1974: A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals

Zadoks code from 0 to 99:

Dough development

- 80
- 81
- 82 -
 - Early dough Our early dough
- 84

83

- 85 Soft dough
- 86 -

- Our soft-to-hard dough

87 Hard dough

-

- 88
- 89 -

<u>Ripening</u>

₇90



Methods - harvesting

The crop was mown as gently as possible to avoid kernel loss, and picked up without wilting





Methods – harvesting

... by driving Orkel hiQ smartbaler in the opposite direction to the mower.





Methods - chopping prior to feeding



Bales were chopped using Kverneland Silo King TMR mixer for 40 minutes, to approximately 3 cm median chop length



Methods - processing





Half of the silage from each maturity stage was processed using Murska 350 S roller mill



Experimental design

Eight cows were allocated to two 4×4 Latin Squares, each with 4 cows and 4 three-week periods.

Four dietary treatments, A to D, consisted of whole crop wheat silage from the two maturity stages either unprocessed or processed:

| Cow | 5840 | 5830 | 6033 | 5864 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Per | | | | |
| 1 | А | В | С | D |
| 2 | D | С | А | В |
| 3 | C | D | В | А |
| 4 | В | A | D | С |

| Cow | 6044 | 6023 | 5946 | 5725 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Per | | | | |
| 1 | А | В | С | D |
| 2 | D | А | В | C |
| 3 | В | С | D | А |
| 4 | C | D | A | В |

Dairy cow diets



Wheat silage sprayed with 50 g/d of water soluted urea was offered *ad libitum* as the sole roughage.

Cows were supplemented with 6.6 kg DM/d of concentrates, and a supplement containing NaHCO₃.

Feed residues were kept low (4.8%) in order to minimize feed selection.





Digestibility

Urine and faeces were collected during the last four days in each period.

Total tract apparent digestibility of total diet was determined.



| | Early | dough | Soft-to-hard dough | | |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | Un-proc. | Processed | Un proc. | Processed | |
| DM, g/kg | 335 | 332 | 422 | 423 | |
| рН | 4.37 | 4.33 | 4.71 | 4.71 | |
| NH ₃ -N, g/kg N | 154 | 155 | 118 | 115 | |
| INDF, g/kg NDF | 376 | 378 | 425 | 437 | |
| <u>g/kg DM</u> | | | | | |
| OM | 949 | 945 | 957 | 957 | |
| Protein | 104 | 102 | 100 | 105 | |
| NDF | 480 | 484 | 454 | 458 | |
| Starch | 110 | 109 | 266 | 241 | |
| WSC | 97 | 95 | 49 | 48 | |
| Acids + ethanol | 91 | 96 | 58 | 60 | |

| | Early | dough | Soft-to-hard dough | | |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | Un-proc. | Processed | Un proc. | Processed | |
| DM, g/kg | 335 | 332 | 422 | 423 | |
| рН | 4.37 | 4.33 | 4.71 | 4.71 | |
| NH ₃ -N, g/kg N | 154 | 155 | 118 | 115 | |
| INDF, g/kg NDF | 376 | 378 | 425 | 437 | |
| <u>g/kg DM</u> | | | | | |
| OM | 949 | 945 | 957 | 957 | |
| Protein | 104 | 102 | 100 | 105 | |
| NDF | 480 | 484 | 454 | 458 | |
| Starch | 110 | 109 | 266 | 241 | |
| WSC | 97 | 95 | 49 | 48 | |
| Acids + ethanol | 91 | 96 | 58 | 60 | |



Total diet daily intake

| | Early dough | | Soft-to-hard | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|----------|---------|--------|--|
| | | | dough | | | P-value | | | |
| | Un- | | Un- | | | | | | |
| | proc- | Proc- | proc- | Proc- | SEM | Maturity | Pro- | Inter- | |
| | essed | essed | essed | essed | | , , | cessing | action | |
| DM, kg | 20.4 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 0.389 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NS | |
| OM, kg | 19.1 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 0.375 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NS | |
| N, kg | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.008 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| NDF, kg | 7.61 | 7.87 | 7.54 | 7.77 | 0.175 | 0.07 | <0.001 | NS | |
| Starch, kg | 3.47 | 3.51 | 5.75 | 5.49 | 0.085 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| WSC, kg | 1.83 | 1.84 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.029 | <0.001 | NS | NS | |



Total diet daily intake

| | Early | dough | Soft-to-hard | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| | | | dou | ugh | P-value | | | |
| | Un- | | Un- | | | | | |
| | proc- | Proc- | proc- | Proc- | SEM | Maturity | Pro- | Inter- |
| | essed | essed | essed | essed | | , | cessing | action |
| DM, kg | 20.4 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 0.389 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NS |
| OM, kg | 19.1 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 0.375 | <0.001 | <0.001 | NS |
| N, kg | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.008 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| NDF, kg | 7.61 | 7.87 | 7.54 | 7.77 | 0.175 | 0.07 | <0.001 | NS |
| Starch, kg | 3.47 | 3.51 | 5.75 | 5.49 | 0.085 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| WSC, kg | 1.83 | 1.84 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.029 | <0.001 | NS | NS |



Total diet daily intake

| | Early | dough | Soft-to | o-hard | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|--|
| | | | dou | ugh | P-value | | | |
| | Un- | | Un- | | | | | |
| | proc- | Proc- | proc- | Proc- | SEM Maturity | Pro- | Inter- | |
| | essed | essed | essed | essed | | cessing | action | |
| DM, kg | 20.4 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 0.389 <0.001 | <0.001 | NS | |
| OM, kg | 19.1 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 0.375 <0.001 | <0.001 | NS | |
| N, kg | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.008 <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| NDF, kg | 7.61 | 7.87 | 7.54 | 7.77 | 0.175 0.07 | <0.001 | NS | |
| Starch, kg | 3.47 | 3.51 | 5.75 | 5.49 | 0.085 <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | |
| WSC, kg | 1.83 | 1.84 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.029 <0.001 | NS | NS | |



Total tract apparent digestibility of total diet

| | Early dough | | Soft-to-hard | | P-value | | | |
|--------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| | | | dou | ugh | | | | |
| | Un- | | Un- | | | | | |
| | proc- | Proc- | proc- | Proc- | SEM | Maturity | Pro- | Inter- |
| | essed | essed | essed | essed | | , | cessing | action |
| DM | 0.673 | 0.671 | 0.665 | 0.655 | 0.0046 | 0.01 | NS | NS |
| OM | 0.690 | 0.689 | 0.678 | 0.669 | 0.0046 | 0.002 | NS | NS |
| Ν | 0.724 | 0.716 | 0.722 | 0.720 | 0.0057 | NS | NS | NS |
| NDF | 0.477 | 0.490 | 0.428 | 0.424 | 0.0091 | <0.001 | NS | NS |
| Starch | 0.981 | 0.982 | 0.986 | 0.984 | 0.0010 | 0.002 | NS | 0.05 |
| WSC | 0.993 | 0.994 | 0.988 | 0.987 | 0.0009 | <0.001 | NS | NS |



Total tract apparent digestibility of total diet

| | Early dough | | Soft-to-hard | | P-value | | | |
|--------|-------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| | dough | | | | | | | |
| | Un- | | Un- | | | | | |
| | proc- | Proc- | proc- | Proc- | SEM | Maturity | Pro- | Inter- |
| | essed | essed | essed | essed | | , | cessing | action |
| DM | 0.673 | 0.671 | 0.665 | 0.655 | 0.0046 | 0.01 | NS | NS |
| OM | 0.690 | 0.689 | 0.678 | 0.669 | 0.0046 | 0.002 | NS | NS |
| Ν | 0.724 | 0.716 | 0.722 | 0.720 | 0.0057 | NS | NS | NS |
| NDF | 0.477 | 0.490 | 0.428 | 0.424 | 0.0091 | <0.001 | NS | NS |
| Starch | 0.981 | 0.982 | 0.986 | 0.984 | 0.0010 | 0.002 | NS | 0.05 |
| WSC | 0.993 | 0.994 | 0.988 | 0.987 | 0.0009 | <0.001 | NS | NS |



What about the hypotheses?

- 1. Total tract OM and starch digestibility increases by grain processing
- 2. This increase is greater when harvesting at the hard dough stage (Zadoks 87) than at the early dough (Zadoks 83)

Digestibility of whole crop wheat silage was not influenced by processing, neither at early dough, nor at soft-to-hard dough.



Effect on intake

Processing with Murska roller decreased particle length at both maturity stages, which is the probable reason for increased intake of processed wheat silage.

Increasing intake with advancing maturity of whole crop silage was in line with calculated Silage Dry Matter Intake Indexes (Huhtanen et al. 2007).

Maturity, DM and processing

Previous publications with wheat harvested at dough stages have partly been from later stages (hard dough, Zadoks 87) or at higher DM concentrations (600 g DM/kg; Abdallah et al. 1999.

Maturity stage and DM concentration may both influence digestibility of whole wheat kernels by cows.

This study does not move the fact that dry, mature, whole grain are poorer digested by cattle than rolled or milled grain (Sutton et al. 1997)

Conclusion



Starch in wheat kernels harvested at the soft-to-hard dough stage, at Zadoks 86, or earlier, at a DM concentration up to 430 g/kg, is completely digested in dairy cows without any processing.





Thanks to all financial contributors and collaborators!













